

New claims 23 to 33 do not add any new matter and are supported by the present application, including the specification. Claims 23 and 33 include features like those of the other independent claims, and are therefore allowable for essentially the same reasons, as are dependent claims 24 to 32 which depend from claim 23. Further claim 23 includes a feature in which “the system [is] configured to distribute driving-related functions to the first processing unit and the second processing unit, based at least in part on an availability of the respective processing units.” This feature is wholly absent from the Kelly reference, so that claim 23 is allowable for this further reason, as are its dependent claims 24 to 32.

Accordingly, claims 12 to 33 are allowable.

CONCLUSION

In view of the above, it is respectfully submitted that all of the presently pending claims 12 to 33 are allowable. It is therefore respectfully requested that the rejections and objections be withdrawn, since they have been obviated. Since all issues raised have been addressed, an early and favorable action on the merits is respectfully requested.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: March 26, 2009

By: /Aaron D. Deditch/
Reg. No. 33,865
Gerard A. Messina
(Reg. No. 35,952)

KENYON & KENYON LLP
One Broadway
New York, NY 10004
(212) 425-7200

CUSTOMER NO. 26646

1682719v2